

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FII	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/037,362 12/31/2001		Elvino S. Sousa	015685.P129	6444	
8791	7590	10/04/2005	EXAMINER		
BLAKELY 12400 WILS		OFF TAYLOR &	MARCELO, MELVIN C		
SEVENTH		ULEVARD	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
LOS ANGE	LES, CA	90025-1030	2662	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
•				DATE MAILED: 10/04/2009	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary			10/037,362	SOUSA ET AL.					
			Examiner	Art Unit					
			Melvin Marcelo	2662					
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this communic or Reply	cation appe	ars on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence a	ddress				
WHI0 - Exte afte - If NO - Fails Any	IORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MA insions of time may be available under the provisions of it SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commu- period for reply is specified above, the maximum stature to reply within the set or extended period for reply we reply received by the Office later than three months afted patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	AILING DATE of 37 CFR 1.136 inication. utory period will vill, by statute, c	TE OF THIS COMMUNI (a). In no event, however, may a apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI ause the application to become A	CATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this of BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status									
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed	on <i>31 Dec</i>	cember 2001						
2a)□	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.								
3)		,		ters, prosecution as to th	e merits is				
,) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposit	ion of Claims			·					
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-69 is/are pending in the ap	oplication.							
,,	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-5,8-22,24-29,31-58,60-65 and 67-69</u> is/are allowed.								
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>6,7,23,30,44,49,59 and 66</u> is/are rejected.								
7)🖂									
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restricti	ion and/or e	election requirement.						
Applicat	ion Papers								
9)[]	The specification is objected to by the	Examiner							
	The drawing(s) filed on <u>31 December</u>		: a)⊠ accepted or b)□	objected to by the Exar	niner				
,	Applicant may not request that any object								
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including t		- · ·	• •	FR 1.121(d).				
11)[The oath or declaration is objected to				• •				
Priority ı	under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim fo	or foreign p	riority under 35 U.S.C. {	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
-,	1. Certified copies of the priority d	ocuments l	have been received						
	2.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
	3. Copies of the certified copies of				Stage				
	application from the Internation								
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action	for a list of	the certified copies not	received.					
Attachmen	t(s)								
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)			Summary (PTO-413)					
 -	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTo mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or P	•		s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PT0	O-152)				
	r No(s)/Mail Date	. 5.55/60)	6) Other:						

Application/Control Number: 10/037,362

Art Unit: 2662

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

1. Claim 2 is objected to because of the following informalities:

Claim 2, lines 2-3, "the second hopping sequence" should be --a second hopping sequence--, unless the applicant intended to recite a second hopping sequence in claim 1.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claims 6, 7, 23, 30, 44, 49, 59 and 66 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 6, lines 1-2, "the second hopping sequence" lacks a proper antecedent basis in claim 1. It is not clear whether applicant intended to associate the claim with claim 2, which recites the "second hopping sequence."

Claim 7, line 1, "the first time" lacks a proper antecedent basis to claim 1 since there is no mention of a "first time" in claim 1.

Claim 23, line 2, "the group comprising..." is an improper Markush group. The proper Markush group citation should be --the group consisting...-. See MPEP 2173.05(h).

Claim 30, line 1, "the instructions for calculating" lacks a proper antecedent basis to claim 28. It is not clear whether applicant intended to associate the claim with claim 29, which recites the function of "calculating."

Claim 44, line 2, "the group comprising..." is an improper Markush group.

Claim 49, line 1, "synchronizing the timing" lacks a proper antecedent basis to claim 39. It is not clear whether applicant intended to associate the claim with claim 48, which recites the step of "synchronizing the timing."

Claim 59, line 1, "synchronizing the timing" lacks a proper antecedent basis to claim 51. It is not clear whether applicant intended to associate the claim with claim 58, which recites the step of "synchronizing the timing."

Claim 66, line 2, "the group comprising" is an improper Markush group.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 4. Claims 1-5,8-22,24-29,31-58,60-65 and 67-69 are allowed.
- 5. Claims 6,7,23,30,44,49,59 and 66 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action.
- 6. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: the prior art of record fails to anticipate or make obvious the combined hopping and spatial processing system, wherein the hop sequence between different radios are coordinated.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Melvin Marcelo whose telephone number is 571-272-3125. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 8:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Hassan Kizou can be reached on 571-272-3088. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/037,362 Page 4

Art Unit: 2662

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Melvin Marcelo Primary Examiner Art Unit 2662

October 2, 2005